# TOWARD A UNIFIED ANALYSIS OF ADDRESSEE IN C: EVIDENCE FROM GALICIAN SOLIDARITY DATIVES

# BILL HADDICAN<sup>1</sup>

Abstract. A consensus view in recent formal work has been that allocutive marking reflects the presence of structure in the left periphery of the clause encoding features of the addressee. A question largely unaddressed in this literature is how this syntax interacts with other phenomena taken to motivate person-features in the left periphery. This paper focuses on allocutive morphemes in Galician. It argues that properties of these morphemes suggest a relationship between the syntax of allocutivity and two other syntactic mechanisms implicating person features in the left periphery: "C-T" person-feature inheritance and person-indexical shift. Similar properties are shown to hold for two other allocutive clitic varieties, Basque and Lebanese Arabic

**Keywords:** allocutivity; clitics; addressee; case; performative hypothesis, speech act.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This article focuses on allocutivity – morphological marking of non-thematic addressees. This phenomenon is illustrated in (1) and (2) from Galician and Magahi respectively. In (1) the dative clitic, che expresses agreement in familiarity with an addressee when the latter is not an event participant. Similarly, in (2), the object agreement morpheme -o agrees in formality with a non-thematic addressee.

### (1) Galician

Non **che** me dá pena ningunha. no **2sg.FAM** 1sG give sorrow any 'It doesn't make me feel bad at all.' (Alvarez Blanco 1997: 38)

### (2) Magahi

Ham unkaa dekha-l-i-o. I him see-PST-1SG-**2SG.HON** 'I saw him.' (Verma 1991)

In recent years, there has been a considerable expansion of formal descriptions of allocutivity in different languages, including Galician (Álvarez Blanco, 1980, 1994; Uriagereka, 1995a; Álvarez Blanco, 1997; Álvarez *et al.*, 1986; Carbón Riobóo, 1995;

RRL, LXIV, 4, p. 373-386, București, 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Queens College-City University of New York, whaddican@qc.cuny.edu.

Longa and Lorenzo, 2001; Huidobro, 2009, 2018), Levantine Arabic (Haddad, 2013, 2014), Japanese (Miyagawa, 2012, 2017), Jingpo (Zu, 2013, 2017), Korean (Pak, 2017; Portner *et al.*, 2019), Magahi (Verma, 1991; Bhattacharya, 2016), Mupun (Frajzyngier, 1989), Tamil (McFadden, 2017; Sundaresan, 2018) and Basque (Oyharçabal, 1993; Alberdi, 1995; Albizu, 1997, 2002; Arregi and Nevins, 2012; Miyagawa, 2012, 2013; Torrego, 2013; Alcázar and Saltarelli, 2014; Torrego and Fernández, 2016; Haddican, 2018). This literature has arrived at a consensus on two aspects of representations of allocutivity crosslinguistically: (i) they involve an Addressee-related projection in the left periphery of the clause; and (ii) this projection contains a (usually) silent nominal that participates in case/agreement operations (Verma, 1991; Oyharçabal, 1993; Speas and Tenny, 2003; Miyagawa, 2012, 2017; Zu, 2013, 2017; Slocum, 2016; Portner et al., 2019). Let us represent this approach, for time being, as in (3).

# (3) [CP C [AddrP Alloc[N] Addr [TP T ...]]]

A question largely unaddressed in this literature is what the relationship is between this Addressee element and the loci of person features associated with two other phenomena in the left periphery discussed in the literature: Person features inherited by T (Chomsky, 2008); and the operator or pro that governs indexical shift (Baker, 2008; Deal, 2017; Sundaresan, 2018).

This paper addresses these issues from the perspective of allocutive clitics in Galician, which have not been discussed previously in the literature on allocutivity. In particular, this article makes two main claims. First, an asymmetry between subjects and objects in allocutive blocking effects for second person agreement suggests evidence for a relationship between allocutivity and C-T person feature inheritance. Second, the otherwise surprising unavailability of clitic doubling with allocutive clitics is explained if these morphemes double a silent operator. Supporting evidence for this view comes from Tamil as described by McFadden (2017) and Sundaresan (2018), Basque and Lebanese Arabic.

The discussion is organized as follows. Part two of this paper provides a brief overview of Galician allocutive clitics. Part three discusses a subject-object asymmetry in Galician and proposes an account in terms of feature inheritance. Part four of this paper discusses the absence of clitic doubling.

### 2. OVERVIEW OF GALICIAN ALLOCUTIVE CLITICS

Allocutive forms of the kind in (1), repeated here, are called "solidarity clitics" in the Romance literature. They are accepted by most speakers but used more in informal registers and in rural dialects.<sup>2</sup> They differ from ethical datives in having a strictly non-participant interpretation, and in the fact that they can never double an overt DP (Carbón Riobóo, 1995; Huidobro, 2018). Unlike in some allocutive varieties including Basque and Korean, which require allocutive marking in a given register, Galician allocutive morphemes are optional. When used, they "involve the listener in facts being related" or ask for solidarity/complicity (Álvarez *et al.* 1986).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Uriagereka (1995a) refers to these forms as "colloquial clitics".

### (1) Galician

```
Non che me dá pena ningunha.
no 2SG.FAM 1SG give sorrow any
'It doesn't make me feel bad at all.' (Alvarez Blanco 1997: 38)
```

A strong generalization about allocutive morphemes cross-linguistically, is that, if the allocutive morphemes are clitics/agreement morphemes, these will be close in exponence to some class of thematic clitics<sup>3</sup>. The most extensively described set of facts in this respect comes from Basque, where allocutive morphemes are near identical in exponence and allomorphy rules to those for ergative and dative forms. As shown in (4), the -a/na- morphemes which mark the gender and familiarity of the interlocutor, appear in contexts with thematic dative recipients (4b), and allocutive marking contexts (4a).

### (4) Basque

- a. Bihar egin-go d-i-a/na-t.

  Tomorrow do-FUT EXPL-ROOT-2SG.FAM.MASC/FEM-1SG.ERG

  'Tomorrow I will do it.'
- b. Hi-ri eman-go d-i-a/na-t. you-ERG give-FUT EXPL-ROOT-2SG.FAM.MASC/FEM-1SG.ERG 'I will give it to you.'

In Galician, the allocutive clitic agrees in number and formality with addressee of the utterance. The form of the clitic is identical to that for thematic dative clitics as summarized in Table 1. Consequently, strings with second person datives are often ambiguous among allocutive, benefactive and ethical interpretations as in (5).

Person	Singular	Plural
2 familiar	che	vos
2 formal	lle	lles

Table1: Dative clitics in Galician

# (5) Galician

Merquei-**che** unhas botas. bought.1SG-**2SG.FAM.DAT** some boots

'I bought some boots.'/'I bought you some boots.'/'I bought some books on you.'

A second property of Galician allocutive clitics typical of allocutive morphemes elsewhere is that they have a surface word order suggesting a high position. In Korean, for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The generalization that allocutive morphemes are *close* in exponence to some class of thematic clitics is exceptionless, as far as I know. See Albizu (2002) on some differences in exponence in between allocutive and dative/ergative clitics in Basque.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Galician also has *teista* dialects in which the second person singular dative form is *te* Álvarez Blanco (1994).

example, the allocutive morpheme *-eyo* appears to the right of the past tense morpheme. These morphemes also spell out clause type/force features, as in (6).

### (6) Korean

```
Inho-ka choysen-ul ta ha-ess-eyo.

Inho-NOM best-ACC all do-PAST-DECL.POLITE

`Inho did his best.' (Portner et al., 2019)
```

In Galician, evidence that the allocutive clitic occupies a left peripheral position comes from the fact that it must appear as the leftmost clitic in the clitic cluster, as in  $(7)^5$ . As Uriagereka (1995a) notes, the proposal that allocutive clitics are first merged in a left peripheral position, together with the assumption that raising of lower, thematic clitics is order-preserving – i.e. that they "tuck in" to a position below the allocutive clitic – immediately expresses the fact that allocutive clitics must surface on the left edge of the clitic sequence<sup>6</sup>.

### (7) Galician

```
A este rapaz todo che lle parece pouco.
to this boy all 2SG.FAM.DAT 3SG seem.3SG little.
'Nothing seems enough for this boy, you see.' (Carbón-Riobóo 1995: 19)
```

The exponence of these clitics as datives is in keeping the view of the left-peripheral speech act projections as an applicative structure. Haegeman and Hill (2013) and Miyagawa (2013, 2017), adapting Speas and Tenny's (2003) proposal, take the syntactic structure of speech acts to be parallel to the argument structure for applicative ditransitive structures, with the Speaker, Addressee and utterance content parallel to the agent, recipient and theme, respectively.

```
(8) Miyagawa's structure for Speech Act roles [SAP Speaker [SA' SA [SAP Addressee [SA' SA CP]]]]
```

Similar facts have been described for Lebanese Arabic by Haddad (2013, 2014), where a class of first- and second-person non-thematic clitics are exponed as datives, as in (9)<sup>7</sup>.

### (9) Lebanese Arabic

Ziya:d bi?ad<sup>s</sup>d<sup>s</sup>i:-**li/lak** kil wa?t-o ne:yim. Ziad spend-**2sg.DAT/2sg.DAT** all time-his sleeping 'Ziad spends all his time sleeping.' (Haddad 2014)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An exception to this is that it can be preceded by impersonal/reflexive *se* in some dialects. See Álvarez Blanco (1994, 1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Indeed, clitic clusters in Galician can include multiple thematic clitics in addition to allocutive clitics. On clitic ordering, see also Raposo and Uriagereka (2005) and Uriagereka (1995b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Haddad calls these "attitude datives" and describes them as marking an evaluation of events relative to the speaker or hearer's evaluative position.

A third case in which allocutive morphemes behave like datives comes from Basque where, in transitive contexts, the presence of an allocutive clitic triggers a root vowel alternation associated with thematic datives (Rebuschi, 1981, 1984; Albizu, 2002; Arregi, 2004). (10a) shows that in non-allocutive monotransitive contexts, the auxiliary root spells out as -u-. The addition of an alloctive clitic co-occurs with an -i- auxiliary root, as in (10b), the same form that appears in ditransitive contexts (10c).

### (10) **Basque**

```
a. Egin-go d-u-t.
```

do-FUT expl-ROOT-1sg.erg

'I'm going to do it.'

[Monotransitive]

b. Egin-go d-i-a-t.

do-fut expl-root-2sg.fam.masc-1sg.erg

'I'm going to do it.'

[Monotransitive, allocutive clitic]

c. Eman-go d-i-o-t.

give-fut expl-root-2sg.dat-1sg.erg

'I'm going to give it to him.'

[Ditransitive]

This paper takes no position on whether the "Speech-Act shell" should be thought of as structurally parallel to the functional architecture introducing verbal dependents as suggested by Speas and Tenny (2003); Haegeman and Hill (2013), and Miyagawa (2013, 2017). The fact that allocutive morphemes across several languages share properties with thematic datives, however, suggests the possibility of a unified account to this class of allocutive morphemes.

# 3. A SUBJECT-OBJECT ASYMMETRY

A striking cross-linguistic difference among allocutive languages not so far considered in detail in the formal literature concerns their ability to co-occur with other second person morphemes. These issues, are, again, best described in Basque, in which allocutive morphemes are strictly blocked in contexts with a second person argument, as in (11) (Oyharçabal, 1993; Miyagawa, 2012).

# (10) **Basque**

a. \*Egin-go d-i-zute-k.

do-fut expl-root-2pl.erg-alloc

'You all will do it.'

b. Egin-go d-u-zute.

do-fut expl-root-2pl.erg

'You all will do it.'

This restriction in Basque, whatever its nature, cannot be characterized as one precluding multiple instances of an addressee/participant in a single domain, since first person inclusive contexts are compatible with allocutive marking, as in (12). Similar facts apply in Galician, as shown in (13).

### (12) **Basque**

```
Egon-go gait-u-k.
be-FUT 1PL.ABS-ROOT-ALLOC
'See you later.' (Lit.:'We will be.')
```

### (13) Galician

```
Será mellor que che nos vaiamos, nena.
will.be better that 2SG.FAM 1.PL go.1.PL girl
'It's better for us to go, child.' (Álvarez 1997: 44)
```

In Korean, by contrast, allocutive morphemes freely co-occur with clausemate second person arguments, as in (14).

# (14) **Korean**

```
Ne pap mek-ess-e?
you meal eat-PST-ALLOC
'Did you eat?' (Portner et al., 2019)
```

The nature of this restriction in Galician is somewhat more complex. For some speakers at least, allocutive clitics are marginally able to co-occur with a second person non-subject, as in (15). With second person subjects, however, solidarity clitics are completely impossible, as in (16).

# (15) Galician

```
?/%Manolo vai-che-vos a buscar.

Manolo go-2.SG.FAM-2PL.FAM to look.for
'Manolo will go to pick you all up.' (Spoken to one of the people to be picked up.)

(16) Galician
```

\*Tendes-**che** moito que facer. have-2PL.FAM-**2.SG.FAM** much that do

'You all have a lot to do.' (Spoken to one of the people with much to do.)

Similar facts are reported in Tamil by McFadden (2017). Here, again, while allocutive marking can co-occur with a second person object (17), co-occurrence with a second-person subject is blocked (18).

# (17) *Tamil*

```
naan ongal-æ padatt-læ paa-tt-een-ŋgæ.

I you.PL.OBL-ACC film-LOC see-PST-1SG.SBJ-ALLOC
'I saw you in a film.' (McFadden 2017)
```

### (18) *Tamil*

```
*niingæ rombaa smart-aa iru-kk-iingæ-ngæ
you.PL very smart-PRED be-PRS-2PL-ALLOC
'You're very smart.' (McFadden 2017)
```

Let us assume, following the consensus neo-performative view outlined above, that allocutive clitics in Galician are introduced in a high addressee-related position, which will

here be labeled Addr<sup>8</sup>. Following Chomsky (2008), let us assume that T – the locus of person agreement with subjects – inherits uninterpretable first- and second-person features from one or more higher heads. In particular, let us take this head to be Addr in the case of second person probes. If this probe agrees with another element – say, a silent addressee morpheme in the Speech Act layer – before inheritance applies, and then agreement on T with the subject is blocked. Specifically, let assume that, when an allocutive clitic is introduced in spec, Addr, it will agree with this head, interrupting the addressee probe's descent to T. This is illustrated in (19).

(19) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{FinP Fin } [._{AddrP} & Cl_{[2]} [_{Addr'} [ Addr ] [_{TP} [T ] [ \dots ]]]]] \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us further assume, more or less standardly in inheritance theory, that the person features involved in object agreement are merged lower, on a head atop the verbal shell, whence it will be inherited by v. Specifically, let us assume that this head is Voice. On these assumptions, the presence of an allocutive morpheme in the left periphery will have no consequence for the inheritance mechanism, and the improved status of second person object clitics relative to second person subject clitics in the presence of an allocutive clitic receives some account. Something further is needed to explain the degradation of forms like (15) for some speakers, an issue that will be set aside in the remaining discussion.

### 4. MISSING CLITIC DOUBLES

The remainder of this paper presents novel evidence in favor a second component of the neo-performative consensus view represented in (3) namely that the left peripheral head associated with addressee speech act roles contains a silent nominal. The crucial set of facts will come from patterns of clitic doubling. Let us begin by noting that non-thematic addressees in Galician cannot be overtly marked by non-clitics, as shown in (21). Thematic datives are not restricted in this way, as in (22).

### (21) Galician

a. Mourreu-che a vaca. died.3sg-2sg.FAM.DAT the cow The cow died. b. \*Mourreu vaca ti. died.3sg the cow to you 'The cow died.' (Carbón-Riobóo (1995:89))

### (21) Galician

A actitude do primeiro ministro preocupa moito **ó** the attititude of the prime minister worries much to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Again, this approach in essence was first proposed by Uriagereka (1995a).

# goberno.

### government

'The attitude of prime minister worries the government a lot.'

In addition, doubling of the solidarity clitic is impossible, as in (23). Again, thematic dative clitics are not restricted in this way (24).

### (23) Galician

- a. Os venres os alumnus sempre chégan-che (\*a tí) tarde á clase. the Fridays the students always arrive-2sG (\*to you) late to-the class 'The students always arrive late to the class on Fridays.'
- b. Dei-lle o libro ao meu amigo. gave.1SG-3SG.DAT the book to.the my friend 'I gave the book to my friend.'

Identical restrictions apply in Basque and Lebanese Arabic, both al- locutive clitic language (Oyharçabal, 1993; Arregi and Nevins, 2012; Haddad, 2014). In Basque, thematic ergative, absolutive and dative clitics, which are obligatory, may co-occur with an overt coreferential DP as in (24). In contrast, allocutive clitics, which are identical in exponence to ergative and dative clitics, can never have an overt double, as in (25).

### (24) **Basque**

a. (Hik) egin du-k.

2SG.ERG do AUX-2SG.ERG
'You have done it.'

b. (\*Hi) mintza niaiteke-k.

2sg.erg talk AUX.1sg-ALLOC

'I can talk.' (Oyharçabal 1993)

Similarly, Haddad (2014) reports that in Lebanese Arabic the allocutive morpheme must be realized as a clitic, rather than a PP, and cannot be doubled:

# (25) Lebanese Arabic

- a. Ziya:d Simil-li/lak haflet xitbe bitžannin Ziad made-1sG.DAT/2sG.DAT party engagement stunning 'Ziad threw an excellent engagement party.'
- b. Ziya:d Simil haflet xitbe bitžannin la-?il-i/-ak
  Ziad made party engagement stunning for-to-1SG.DAT/2SG.DAT
  \* 'Ziad threw an excellent engagement party.'
  Ok: Beneficiary interpretation (Haddad 2014)

The unavailability of a doubled co-referential DP/PP in Galician with clitic forms that otherwise freely allow doubling suggests the possibility that the representation, contrary to appearances, includes a doubled nominal that is always silent. An immediate advantage of positing a silent doubled nominal is that it suggests a partial explanation for the fact that allocutive clitics are obligatory in Basque but optional in Galician. Specifically, on the silent nominal approach, the problem reduces to whatever accounts for

the fact that clitic doubling more generally is obligatory in the former, but optional in the latter.

### (26) **Basque**

Garestia izan-go d-u-\*(k/n).
expensive BE-FUT EXPL-ROOT-**2**SG.FAM.MASC/FEM
'It'll be expensive.'

### (27) Galician

Hoxe tíven-(che) pouco traballo. today they.had-2SG.FAM.MASC little work. 'Today they had little work.' (Álvarez 1997:40)

A question raised from the perspective of this proposal is what the nature of the silent nominal is. Building on a proposal by Sundaresan (2018), I suggest that, in the class of "missing double" allocutive languages – Basque and Galician – the silent double is an Addressee operator or *pro* proposed to model the interpretation of first and second person pronouns in indexical shift contexts (Anand and Nevins, 2004; Baker, 2008; Sundaresan, 2018)

McFadden (2017) describes the distribution of an allocutive morpheme, -ŋgæ, in colloquial Tamil, which marks either a formal singular addressee or a plural addressee (either familiar or polite). Importantly, McFadden (2017) and Sundaresan (2018), report that allocutivity interacts with indexical shift in Tamil. In a context like (28), in which no speaker/addressee-indexical shift is present, the allocutive morpheme is interpreted as honorifying the addressee of utterance.

# (28) *Tamil*

Maya<sub>i</sub> [avæ<sub>i</sub> pootti-læ dʒejkka-ppoo-r-redaa**l-ŋgæ** nnuŭ] Maya.NOM **she.NOM** contest-LOC win-go-PRS-**3FSG-ALLOC**-C Seetha-kittæ so-nn-aa. Seetha-LOC say-PST-3FSG.SUBJ 'Maya<sub>i</sub> told Seetha<sub>j</sub> that she<sub>i</sub> would win the contest.' (plural/polite form to **utterance** Addressee)

Example (29) differs minimally from (28) in having indexical (speaker/ addressee shift in the lower clause. Here, the subject of the embedded speech report is an anaphor, taan that triggers "monstrous" first person agreement in the lower clause. Crucially, the allocutive morpheme in the lower clause now honorifies the addressee of the embedded speech event. Sundaresan (2018) takes the above contrast as evidence that allocutive marking and indexical shift are related syntactically, both reflecting properties of an Addressee-related head.

### (29) *Tamil*

Maya, [taan<sub>i,\*j</sub> pootti-læ d**3**ejkka-ppoo-r-een-**ŋgæ** nnuŭ] Maya.NOM **ANAPH.NOM** contest-LOC win-go-PRS-**1**SG-ALLOC-C Seetha-kiţţæ so-nn-aa. Seetha-LOC say-PST-3FSG.SUBJ 'Maya<sub>i</sub> told Seetha<sub>j</sub> that she<sub>i</sub> would win the contest.' (plural/polite form to **embedded** Addressee)

A second set of facts suggesting that allocutivity is related to the syntax of perspective-taking comes from the interpretation of evaluative adverbs in Lebanese Arabic. Haddad (2013, 2014) describes Lebanese Arabic allocutive forms as marking evaluative perspective, "anchored to the speaker's (and hearer's) values, beliefs and what she considers culturally acceptable or unacceptable" (p. 79). In addition, these forms appear to interact with the interpretation of adverbs like 'fortunately'. In (30), with a first person clitic, la-hisn-l-haz 'fortunately' is interpreted as meaning 'fortunately for the speaker'.

### (30) Lebanese Arabic

farii \( \frac{1}{2} - ak \) ribi\( \hat{h} \) i: \quad \( \frac{1}{2} \) \quad \( \frac{1}{2} \) mubaarayeet \quad ha-l-mawsam \quad \( \frac{1}{2} \) Fortunately \quad \( \text{your.team won-1SG.DAT} \) ten \quad \text{matches} \quad \text{this.season} \quad \quad \quad \text{Fortunately (for me), your team won ten matches this season.} \quad \

In contrast, with the second person allocutive clitic, la-hisn-l-haz is interpreted from the perspective of both the speaker and hearer 'Fortunately for me and you' 10.

### (31) Lebanese Arabic

la-hisn-l-haz farii \( \mathbf{G} - ak \) ribi\( \mathbf{h} - lak \) \quad \( \mathbf{S} a \) \( \mathbf{f} \) r mubaarayeet ha-l-mawsam Fortunately your team won-\( \mathbf{2} s \) G.DAT ten matches this season 'Fortunately (for me and you), your team won ten matches this season.'

We take both the indexical shift and the adverb orientation facts to reflect the presence of an Addressee-related operator in the left periphery. Specifically, Let us assume that, at least in the relevant varieties, all finite clauses contain an addressee projection that can potentially host an indexical operator (Baker, 2008; Woods, 2014, 2016; Shklovsky and Sudo, 2014; Deal, 2017; Sundaresan, 2018; Portner et al., 2019).

$$(32) \left[ \text{Clause-1} \left[ \text{AddrP Op } \dots \left[ \text{Clause-2} \left[ \text{AddrP (Op)} \right] \right] \right] \right]$$

For allocutive clitic languages, including Galician, let us assume that clitics and their doubles are merged in a "big DP" structure of category KP, such that allocutive datives and their associated operator have the representation in (33).

$$(33) \left[_{AddrP} \left[_{KP} D_{CL} \left[_{K'} OP_{Addr} \right] \right] \left[_{Addr'} Addr \left[_{TP} T \dots \right] \right] \right]$$

A unified approach to allocutivity and the structures responsible for indexical shift and perspective taking, as in (32), leads to the expectation that allocutivity should be possible in some embedded contexts. This is at odds, however, with some recent approaches that take allocutivity to be restricted to root clauses (Miyagawa, 2012, 2017; Portner et al., 2019). Indeed, allocutive marking in embedded contexts is attested in several varieties. In addition to Tamil, as described above, Galician freely permits allocutive clitics in embeddings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Haddad refers to these as "attitude datives".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> I'm grateful to Youssef Haddad and Ghada Khattab for a discussion of these data.

### (34) Galician

A filla de Ana---sabías que **che** comprou un coche the daughter of Ana---you.know that **2sg.dat.fam** buy a car nouvo?

'The daughter of Ana---did you know she bought a new car.'

### (35) Galician

Creo que **che** está tolo. I.think that **2sg.DAT.FAM** COP.3sg crazy 'I think that he/she/it is crazy.'

In Basque, the availability of embedded allocutivity varies by dialect. Some younger speakers in Basque in particular readily accept and produce allocutive marking in embedded contexts (Azkue Ibarbia, 1998). In such cases allocutive marking must apply in all finite contexts – root and embeddings. This availability is not restricted by embedding type, nor limited to "asserted" or Main Point of Utterance contexts (Hooper and Thompson, 1973; Simons, 2007).

# (36) Verbs of saying

Esa-n dik [etorri-ko d-u-(k)-ela]. say-PERF AUX-2SG.MASC come-FUT EXPL-ROOT-2SG.FAM-C 'He/she/it has said that he/she/it will come.'

### (37) Factives

Jon bazetorre-(k)-ela ahaztu d-u-k.

Jon.ABS come. 3SG-2SG.MASC-C forget EXPL-ROOT-2SG.MASC

'Jon forgot that he/she/it is coming.'

# (38) Relatives

zetorre-(k)-en ekaitza come.3SG-2SG.MASC-C storm. DEF 'The storm that is coming.'

From the perspective of Sundaresan's (2018) proposal, the embedded allocutive marking in Galician and Basque entails that an Addressee projection can appear in all finite clauses. Importantly, in Basque dialects with embedded allocutivity, (i) there is no addressee indexical shift and (ii) no addressee double. This suggests that, in these varieties, Addr can host an operator that does not overwrite the contextual (Speaker/Addressee) parameters.

In Galician, unlike in Basque, the position of allocutive morpheme appears to be sensitive to focus. The answer in (39) is in a context focusing the root clause subject, and here, speakers consulted find it somewhat more natural for the allocutive clitic to appear in the higher clause.

# (39) Galician

Q: Quéen cree que vai chover? who thinks that it.will rain 'Who thinks that it will rain?'

```
A: Xoan cree-(che) que (?che) vai chover.
Xoan thinks-2SG.FAM that 2SG.FAM it.goes rain
'Xoan thinks that it will rain.'
```

In contrast, in (40), where the lower clause itself receives new information focus, subjects typically find it more natural to place the allocutive clitic in the lower clause.

### (40) Galician

```
Q: Que cree Xoan?
what thinks Xoan
'What does Xoan think?'
A: Xoan cree-(?che) que (che) vai chover.
Xoan thinks-2sg.FAM that 2sg.FAM it.goes rain
'Xoan thinks that it will rain.'
```

Similarly, main clauses that resist focus interpretation typically are not marked with an allocutive clitic.

### (41) Galician

```
Es- (??che) que (che) está tolo. it.is-2SG.DAT.FAM that 2SG.DAT.FAM he/she.is crazy 'It's that he's crazy.'
```

These facts suggest that, in Galician, the allocutive morpheme also bears focus features, which require an association with focus-marked constituents.

# 5. CONCLUSION

This paper has focused on allocutive clitic constructions in Galician, a set of facts not so far discussed extensively in the literature on allocutivity. Galician offers two hints about the way that allocutive morphemes relate to other phenomena that, in recent literature, have been taken to involve representations of Addressee speech act roles in the left periphery of the clause. First, an asymmetry between subjects and objects in allocutive blocking effects for second person agreement suggests evidence for a relationship between allocutivity and C-T person feature inheritance. Second, Galician shares with other allocutive clitic languages the property that the allocutive clitic cannot have an overt double. I have proposed that allocutive clitics in fact always have a DP double, but one that is silent. In particular, building on Sundaresan's (2018) proposal, I have taken this silent element to be the operator responsible for indexical shift and perspective in the case of speaker/hearer-oriented adverbs.

### REFERENCES

Alberdi, J., 1995, "The development of the Basque system of terms of address and the allocutive conjugation", in: J. I. Hualde, J. A. Lakarra, R. L. Trask (eds), *Toward a history of the Basque Language*, Philadelphia/Amsterdam, John Benjamins, 275–294.

- Albizu, P., 1997, "Generalized Person-Case Constraint: A case for a syntax-driven inflectional morphology", in: A. Mendikoetxea, M. Uribe- Etxebarria (eds), *Theoretical issues at the* morphology-syntax interface, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea, 1–34.
- Albizu, P., 2002, "Basque verbal morphology: Redefining cases", in: X. Ar- tiagoitia, P. Goenaga, J. A. Lakarra (eds.), Erramu Boneta: Festschrift for Rudolf P.G. de Rijk, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea, 1–19.
- Alcázar, A., M. Saltarelli, 2014, *The Syntax of Imperatives*, volume 140, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Álvarez, R., X. L. Regueira, H. Monteagudo, 1986, Gramática Galega, Galaxia.
- Álvarez Blanco, R., 1980, *O pronome persoal en galego*, Ph.D. thesis, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela.
- Álvarez Blanco, R., 1994, "Secuencias de pronomes átonos en galego moderno", in: R. Lorenzo (ed.), Actas do XIX Congreso Internacional de Lin- guística e Filoloxía Románicas, Santiago de Compostela 1989, volume VI, A Coruña, Fundación Pedro Barrié de la Maza, Conde de Fenosa, 247–265.
- Álvarez Blanco, R., 1997, "O complemento de solidariedade: a complicidade entre os interlocutores", in: B. Fernaández Salgado (ed.), *Actas do IV Congreso Internacional de Estudios Galegos*, Centre for Galician Studies, 37–53.
- Anand, P., A. Nevins, 2004, "Shifty operators in changing contexts", in: *Semantics and Linguistic Theory*, 14, 20–37.
- Arregi, K., 2004, "The have/be alternation in Basque", Ms., University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.
- Arregi, K., A. Nevins, 2012, Morphotactics: Basque auxiliaries and the structure of spellout, Dordrecht: Springer.
- Azkue Ibarbia, X., 1998, Hitanoa Zumaian, Zumaiako Udala.
- Baker, M. C., 2008, The syntax of agreement and concord, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Bhattacharya, T., 2016, "Inner/outer politeness in central Ma gadhan Pra krit languages: Agree as labeling", *Linguistic Analysis*, 40, 3–4.
- Carbón Riobóo, M. d. l. S., 1995, "Ethical datives and solidarity clitics in Galician", MA Thesis, University of Kansas.
- Chomsky, N., 2008, "On phases", in: R. Freiden, C. P. Otero, M. L. Zubizarreta (eds), Foundational issues in linguistic theory: essays in honor of Jean-Roger Vergnaud, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press, 133–166.
- Deal, A. R., 2017, "Shifty asymmetries: universals and variation in shifty indexicality", Ms., University of California, Berkeley.
- Frajzyngier, Z., 1989, "Non-propositional addressees", in: *Papers from the 25th Annual Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society. CLS*, 41–49.
- Haddad, Y. A., 2013, "Pronouns and intersubjectivity in Lebanese Arabic gossip", Journal of Pragmatics, 49, 1, 57–77.
- Haddad, Y. A., 2014, "Attitude datives in Lebanese Arabic and the interplay of syntax and pragmatics", *Lingua*, 145, 65–103.
- Haddican, B., 2018, "The syntax of Basque allocutive clitics", *Glossa*, 3, 1, 101, DOI: http://doi.org/10.5334/gjgl.471.
- Haegeman, L., V. Hill, 2013, "The syntactization of discourse", in: R. Folli, C. Sevdali, R. Truswell (eds), Syntax and its limits, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 370–390.
- Hooper, J. B., S. A. Thompson, 1973, "On the applicability of root transformations", *Linguistic Inquiry*, 4, 4, 465–497.
- Huidobro, S., 2009, "Datives as "concordia" case: evidence from Spanish and Galician, Paper presented at the 19th Colloquium on Generative Grammar, April 2009, Vitoria-Gasteiz".
- Huidobro, S., 2018, "Allocutive clitics in Galician", MS. City University of New York.
- Longa, V. M., G. Lorenzo, 2001, "Universal constraints on 'superfluous' elements: The case of Galician 'arb che", Current Issues in Spanish Syntax and Semantics, 53, 175.
- McFadden, T., 2017, "The morphosyntax of allocutive agreement in Tamil", Ms. LingBuzz.

- Miyagawa, S., 2012, "Agreements that occur mainly in the main clause", in: L. Aelbrecht, L. Haegeman, R. Nye (eds), *Main clause phenomena: New horizons*, Amsterdam, Benjamins, 79–112.
- Miyagawa, S., 2013, "Surprising agreements at T and C", M.s. MIT. Miyagawa, S., 2017, *Agreement beyond phi*, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.
- Oyharçabal, B., 1993, "Verb agreement with non arguments: On allocutive agreement", in: J. I. Hualde, J. Ortiz de Urbina (eds), *Generative Studies in Basque Linguistics*, Philadelphia/Amsterdam, John Benjamins, 89–89.
- Pak, M. D., 2017, "Towards understanding the syntactic representation of honorifics in Korean", Korean Linguistics, 17, 2, 132–166.
- Portner, P., M. Pak, R. Zanuttini, 2019, "The addressee at the syntax-semantics interface: Evidence from politeness and speech style", *Language*, 95, 1–36.
- Raposo, E. P., J. Uriagereka, 2005, "Clitic placement in Western Iberian: A minimalist view", in: G. Cinque, R.S. Kayne (eds), The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Syntax, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 639–797.
- Rebuschi, G., 1981, "Autour des formes allocutives du Basque", in: *Euskalarien nazioarteko jardunaldiak*, Euskaltzaindia, 307–321.
- Rebuschi, G., 1984, Structure de l'énoncé en Basque, Leuven, Peeters Publishers.
- Shklovsky, K., Y. Sudo, 2014, "The syntax of monsters", Linguistic Inquiry, 45, 3, 381-402.
- Simons, M., 2007, "Observations on embedding verbs, evidentiality, and presupposition", *Lingua*, 117, 6, 1034–1056.
- Slocum, P., 2016, The Syntax of Address, Ph.D. thesis, State University of New York at Stony Brook.
- Speas, P., C. Tenny, 2003, "Configurational properties of point of view roles", in: A. M. Di Sciullo (ed.), *Asymmetry in grammar*, 1, Amsterdam/Philadelphia, John Benjamins, 315–345.
- Sundaresan, S., 2018, "An alternative model of indexical shift: Variation and selection without context-overwriting", Ms. University of Leipzig.
- Torrego, E., 2013, "Comp-Connections: Then and Now", talk given at *Towards a Theory of Syntactic Variation*, Bilbao, June 2013.
- Torrego, E., B. Fernández, 2016, "The way to the top in Basque allocutives", Ms., University of the Basque Country.
- Uriagereka, J., 1995a, "Aspects of the syntax of clitic placement in Western Romance", *Linguistic Inquiry*, 26, 1, 79–123.
- Uriagereka, J., 1995b, "An F position in Western Romance", Discourse con figurational languages, 153-175.
- Verma, M. K., 1991, "Exploring the parameters of agreement: the case of Magahi", *Language Sciences*, 13, 2, 125–143.
- Woods, R., 2014, "The syntax of orientation shifting: Evidence from English high adverbs", in: ConSOLE XXII: Proceedings of the 22nd Conference of the Student Organization of Linguistics in Europe (8–10 January 2014, Lisbon), volume 22, 205–230.
- Woods, R., 2016, *Investigating the syntax of speech acts: embedding illocutionary force*, Ph.D. thesis, University of York.
- Zu, V., 2013, "Probing for conversation participants: The case of Jingpo", in: Proceedings of the Annual Regional Meeting of Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Zu, V., 2017, Discourse Participants and the Structural Representation of the Context, Ph.D. thesis, New York University.